

**Emerging Markets & Technology
Demand Response Projects
2019 Q4 – 2020 Q1 Semiannual Report**

March 31, 2020

Table of Contents

Contents

I.	Summary	4
II.	Projects Completed in Q4 2019 and Q1 2020	4
	A. Bundling Energy Efficiency with Distributed Energy Resources	4
	1..... Overview	4
	2..... Collaboration.....	5
	3..... Results/Status	5
	4..... Next Steps	5
	B. Connected Home Product Bundle Field Study	5
	1..... Overview	5
	2..... Collaboration.....	6
	3..... Results/Status	6
	4..... Next Steps	6
	C. Testing Statistical Sampling Methodologies and Alternative Baseline	6
	1..... Overview	6
	2..... Collaboration.....	7
	3..... Results/Status	7
	4..... Next Steps	8
III.	Projects Initiated in Q4 2019 and Q1 2020.....	8
	A. Evaluate 3rd parties interest on residential digital rate	8
	1..... Overview	8
	2..... Collaboration.....	9
	3..... Results/Status	9
	4..... Next Steps	9
IV.	Ongoing DRET Projects	9
	A. Watter Saver Pilot	9
	1..... Overview	9
	2..... Collaboration.....	10
	3..... Results/Status	10
	4..... Next Steps	11
	B. Research project on the approach to calculate ADR control incentives... ..	11
	1..... Overview	11
	2..... Collaboration.....	12
	3..... Results/Status	12
	4..... Next Steps	12

V. Budget 12

I. Summary

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submits this semiannual report as directed in *Decision Adopting Demand Response Activities and Budgets for 2012 through 2014*, D.12-04-045, Ordering Paragraph (OP) 59 and continued per D.14-05-025 and D.16-06-029 adopting Bridge Funding for 2015-16 and 2017 respectively. The Demand Response Emerging Technologies (DRET) Program was also approved in the *Decision Adopting Demand Response Activities and Budgets for 2018 through 2022*, D.17-12-003.

PG&E's DRET program continues to explore new technologies and applications that have the potential to enable or enhance demand response (DR) capabilities and can include hardware, software, design tools, strategies, and services. Examples of some of the types of enabling technologies that have been investigated are advanced energy management control systems (EMCS), direct load controls, and advanced heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) controls.

PG&E's DR Portfolio Strategy centers on addressing both customer and grid needs today and, in the future, taking into account Rule 24, and the enablement of DR integration into the ISO wholesale markets. In addition, PG&E acknowledges the rapid development of "smart" devices, storage, and other technologies that are seeing increasing customer adoption across sectors and have the potential to help customers better perform on DR programs.

PG&E, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), collectively referred to as the Investor Owned Utilities (IOUs), share updates on individual projects, including project status and findings, at monthly DRET conference calls as well as via participation in the Emerging Technologies Coordinating Council (ETCC) quarterly meetings.

II. Projects Completed in Q4 2019 and Q1 2020

A. Bundling Energy Efficiency with Distributed Energy Resources

1. Overview

IDSME program administrators such as CA IOUs and other 3rd parties are looking for new opportunities to engage customers in energy efficiency by leveraging additional customer and grid benefits. Bundling building energy efficiency retrofits with building-sited distributed energy resources, energy storage systems, or electric vehicle infrastructure has the potential to expand energy efficiency and demand response program participation and effectiveness while encouraging adoption of other technologies that address a broader set of customer concerns and enhancing grid operations and stability.

This DRET study evaluated projects that have bundled technologies and look at existing program offerings for efficiency retrofits, distributed energy resources, energy storage, and electric vehicle integration. Drawing on this research, the final report will offer recommendations for program designs that encourage bundling by incorporating successful project level strategies and policies that reduce barriers to future program implementation.

2. Collaboration

This study was led by ACEEE, and the DRET team partnered with other utilities participating in this ACEEE study.

3. Results/Status

In Q1 2019, ACEEE provided a one-page questionnaire for study participants to comment. The objective of the questionnaire was to identify integrated EE and DER programs that are offered or in development by participating utilities. In Q4 2019, ACEEE asked study participants to comment on the draft final report.

4. Next Steps

PG&E received the final report from ACEEE on March 24th and will post it on the ETCC website in the next few weeks.

B. Connected Home Product Bundle Field Study

1. Overview

PG&E believes that in-home technologies introduced to the market in the last several years create new opportunities for residential customers to better manage, and control, their energy use. New control devices, home automation systems, and individual end-use controls can now be integrated to make it possible for customers to better understand their energy use, and to efficiently receive, and respond to information from the utility, which might include pricing signals, DR event signals, or other information. However, at this point, some of the elements that represent a fully functional, in-home, energy-management-focused control system covering multiple end uses are relatively new to the market. This is particularly true in terms of the role that some of these devices can and will play as energy management information and control gateways.

Because of this, PG&E wishes to conduct a Connected Home Field Study (“the Field Study”) in order to explore the way that customers are

currently interacting, and could interact, with new Energy Management Technologies (EMTs) for a variety of different energy management-related applications.

The goals of the Field Study are to explore the EE, DR and Share My Data opportunities and customer satisfaction aspects of connected home product bundles that include smart thermostats, lights, switches, and Smart Plug devices.

2. Collaboration

PG&E's EE and DR Emerging Technology and Share My Data teams jointly design and implement this Emerging Technology assessment.

3. Results/Status

In April 2018, PG&E selected a vendor to implement this assessment.

As of March 2019, this integrated emerging technology assessment has enrolled 158 participants. All participants have received connected control equipment such as smart plugs and smart lights by enrolling in this study.

Starting April 2019, the study dispatch DR events by turning off customers' smart plug for few hours.

In Q4 of 2019, the Measurement and Evaluation consultant asked the pilot participants to fill out a final survey to capture customers' experiences on this study. The survey result was included in the final report.

4. Next Steps

The final report was completed in the first quarter of 2020 and posted in the ETCC website.

C. *Testing Statistical Sampling Methodologies and Alternative Baseline*

1. Overview

The CAISO evaluates Proxy Demand Resource (PDR) and Reliability Demand Response Resource (RDRR) wholesale market performance using one of two North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) measurement and verification standard baseline types (a.k.a. "Type-I" and "Type-II"), with Type-I being the default methodology. Under Type-I, a resource's performance is based on aggregated interval Revenue Quality Meter Data (RQMD) for all customer locations comprising that resource. However, Type-II is available for resources that do not have interval

RQMD available for all locations, which would meet the CAISO's required timelines. Using Type-II, performance evaluation uses statistical sampling to estimate the performance of the entire resource based on interval RQMD for a subset of the locations in that resource. In order to use the Type-II methodology, a proposal specific to the resource, which demonstrates 10% error at a 90% confidence interval must be submitted to and approved by the CAISO¹.

The purpose of this project was to develop and analyze a Type-II methodology so that all residential customers may be able to participate in CAISO's wholesale markets. Phase 1 of the project utilized the residential customers participating in PG&E's Supply-side Pilot (SSP) to develop a proposal for CAISO's consideration.

Phase 2 of this project will allow PG&E to further validate the CAISO approved statistical sampling methodology. The DRET team is planning to work with the Stanford Linear Acceleration Center (SLAC) to test the existing methodology and DR baselines using the Visualization and Insight System for Demand Operations and Management (VISDOM) tool. The VISDOM tool developed by Stanford is a platform for gaining insight into utility customer behavior using their observed energy consumption data combined with traditional demographic and psychographic attributes.

2. Collaboration

In Phase 1, PG&E worked in partnership with Olivine, the SSP program implementer and Scheduling Coordinator (SC). This study was conducted in concert with the SSP. In Phase 2, PG&E will work with SLAC.

3. Results/Status

In 2016, CAISO approved a sampling plan that was developed for a participant in PG&E's Supply Side Pilot. The approval of the sampling plan was significant, as it was the first Type II baseline proposal to go through a previously unspecified process.

After the sampling methodology was established and approved, the team planned to assess the accuracy of the plan by comparing the projected performance against actual available meter data. The sampling methodology was developed for a participant in the SSP who ultimately

¹ For more details on the proposal requirements, see: <http://www.caiso.com/Documents/RevisedDraftFinalProposal-EnergyStorageDistributedEnergyResources.pdf>

proved unable to enroll a sufficient number of kW's to be able to participate in the pilot and therefore the remainder of the assessment could not be pursued.

Meanwhile, PG&E's Measurement and Evaluation team conducted an assessment on the CAISO approved statistical sampling methodology by applying it to the Smart AC program's population and comparing it to the existing methodology, which requires a bigger population than the CAISO approved statistical sampling. Preliminary results indicate that PG&E's approach is more accurate compared to the CAISO approved methodology due to the large population RQMD customers already participating in the Smart AC Program. PG&E may explore comparing the two methodologies using a control group with only the RQMD population in 2017.

4. Next Steps

The DRET team received the draft final report from SLAC in the first quarter of 2020 and is in the process of reviewing the report with internal M&E team. A final version of the report is expected to be posted on the ETCC website on 2nd quarter of 2020.

III. Projects Initiated in Q4 2019 and Q1 2020

A. Evaluate 3rd parties interest on residential digital rate

1. Overview

The objective of this study is to evaluate 3rd party (example: IDSM aggregators and smart energy vendors) interest in receiving residential digital rate in order to help residential customers to be successful when enrolling a dynamic rate such as TOU, EV and Smart Rate. Below are the proposed scopes for this study:

- Defining what is a digital rate
- Determine the format of the dynamic rate
- Evaluate existing IT infrastructure that is required to scale this in the future
- Evaluate 3rd parties' preferences on the channels and different type of rates
- Evaluate different channels that can provide digital rate to 3rd parties
- Evaluate different type of dynamic rates (dynamic vs. universal)

2. Collaboration

PG&E's DR Emerging Technology and Share My Data teams jointly design and implement this Emerging Technology assessment. Internal stakeholders would include the Pricing Product and IT Team. A consultant would be hired to lead the M&E of this study.

3. Results/Status

In the first quarter of 2020, PG&E started to develop the research project design/scopes and expect to roll out the study on 2nd quarter of 2020.

4. Next Steps

This assessment is scheduled to last 15 to 18 months, PG&E will provide updates on the bi-annual DRET report in the future.

IV. Ongoing DRET Projects

A. *Water Saver Pilot*

1. Overview

As part of PG&E's Assembly Bill 2868 proposal, PG&E proposed a behind-the-meter (BTM) thermal storage program with a goal to reduce peak load by up to 5 megawatts (MW) by 2025 using smart electric water heaters and/or smart control devices. This proposal will incentivize customers to replace existing propane-based and Electric Resistance Water Heaters (ERWH) with hybrid Heat Pump Water Heaters (HPWH) in single family homes, multi-family homes, and small businesses, as well as provide a pay-for-performance incentive to operate electric water heaters during off-peak hours (late evening, early morning and afternoon).

The purpose of the DRET assessment is to test program implementation approaches that could be used for an actual program when the AB 2868 proposal was approved in 2019 for PG&E to launch the program in 2020. The DRET assessment was separated into two Phases. Phase 1 was a lab test and Phase 2 was a field test, with the following objectives:

Phase 1 Lab Test focus on evaluating the two HPWH and two ERWH:

- User interfaces
- Customer platform functions and utility platform functions
- CTA 2045 control and capability
- OpenADR signal capability

- Manufacturers support and warranties

Phase 2 Field Test focus on evaluating:

- The customers' willingness to adopt connected HPWH
- Test multiple incentive levels for customers who adopted HPWH
- The EE benefit from HPWH and load shifting potential for TOU rate
- If there is any benefit to send daily OpenADR signal to manage TOU
- The effectiveness of different messaging on marketing materials

2. Collaboration

The DRET Program partners with PG&E's internal Energy Efficiency group, its Applied Technology Solution laboratory (ATS), and its Pricing Product team on this assessment.

3. Results/Status

The project team has deployed Phase 2 Field Test in 2019, and has installed twenty-six HPWH, thirty-six water heater controllers as of March 24th 2020. The study introduced a new incentive to customers and contractors in 4th quarter of 2019, which was the major driver on the increase of the installations.

Below are some interim findings based on the installed populations:

- The reduction from the heat pump with storage operations is 0.24 kW or a drop of 64.6% relative to the baseline (non-heat pump) unit.
- Most of the reduction, 0.19 kW (51.5% drop), is due to the efficiency of the heat pump.
- Once you factor in efficiency, there the amount water heater load available to shift is very small, 0.18 kW per unit (4-9 pm average).
- Incremental drop of the storage operations over and above efficiency is 0.05 kW. It's small, but relative the load availability to shift (0.18 kW), it's a 28% change.
- The conclusion that efficiency lead to drop in on peak energy use and that storage operations lead to an even bigger drop – are statistically

significant, meaning that the likelihood the differences are due to random chance are close to nil. In technical terms, we have strong internal validity.

- The interim analysis does not include all of the devices in the field. There are at least 5 more devices that became active after Feb 13th (the last date in the interval data).
- The shift impacts absolute impacts (kW) for the Packetized units should be much bigger. Because they are connected to water heaters as efficient as heat pumps, those devices have a lot more load to shift.

4. Next Steps

PG&E will continue to launch the HPWH and water heater controller for ERWH until the end of March. A final report is schedule to be completed by the 3rd quarter of 2020.

B. Research project on the approach to calculate ADR control incentives

1. Overview

As a result of the 2019 ADR Program Annual Issue Process, the IOUs agreed to engage in an approximately one-year research project to identify the new deemed approach and values for ADR control incentives, which would be third party conducted and facilitated. PG&E will lead this research project on behalf of all three IOUs. In no particular order, possible options that will be researched for a new incentive structure could include, but are not limited to:

1. Technology-based control costs – Possible technology-based methodologies include the actual, or a percentage of the actual, market costs of the technologies, with a factor associated with the application to a business type, size, and/or location of the customer, etc.
2. Average load reduction expected from the control – Identifies the range of potential load impacts of a technology, calculates the average, and assigns a deemed incentive value.
3. Predictability/Reliability of the automated technology-assisted response to DR events – One of the benefits of ADR technology is that it has the potential to increase a customer's DR load impact predictability and reliability. Different technologies are more reliable and provide more predictable DR load impact. This approach could develop a methodology

that could be applied to a variety of technologies and assign deemed incentive values based on how reliably the DR load can be predicted.

4. Other – IOU ADR teams recognize there could be other incentive constructs and approaches that should be considered and will work with the selected third-party consultant to ensure these are included.

2. Collaboration

This is a joint study between SCE, SDG&E and PG&E.

3. Results/Status

The IOUs worked with the consultant to develop the research project design/scopes and will continue implement this study in 2020.

4. Next Steps

PG&E will not include this research project in this report if it is determined that the research project will be funded by the ADR Program.

V. Budget

The following is a breakdown of the total expenditures for PG&E’s 2018-2022 DRET budget. These values are based on accruals made each month. Values do not reflect commitments for projects, including those described in this report, which have been scoped and contracted, but not yet executed.

Approved 2018-2022 Budget	\$7,230,000
Budget Spent as of February 29 th	\$994,827
2018-2022 Budget Remaining	\$6,235,173